

Name: Thomas Peace
Address: 551 Market Ave Paducah Ky 40208

FIRST CLASS
STAMP

Case Number (check one):

- For LG&E customers, the rate case is Case #2016-00371.
 For KU customers, the rate case is Case #2016-00370.

The Kentucky Public Service Commission must ensure that LG&E's and KU's rate structure is fair, necessary, and does not hurt low and moderate income residents. The PSC should reject these proposals. Insist that these utilities come up with a fair plan that allows us all to breathe easier.

The proposed charges will discourage people like me from taking steps to make our homes and businesses more energy efficient or use renewable energy. These charges will move Kentucky in the wrong direction and make things worse for our energy bills, health, local jobs and climate.

Also, LG&E and KU have sent customers misleading information about the new rate structure, indicating that it will save consumers money. But their claims only apply to very high users, and only in months with very high energy use. For my family and many others, their plan will lead to an average increase in my monthly energy bill. I am concerned about the nature of the utilities' public information. And I believe it makes no sense for a rate structure to reward customers who use the most energy.

Kentucky Public Service Commission
Public Information Officer
P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY. 40602



KENTUCKIANS FOR THE COMMONWEALTH

KENTUCKIANS FOR THE COMMONWEALTH

kftc.org #stand4ky



R.C. Webber

LG&E: Current vs. Proposed (525 kwh + 15 ccf)

	Current Rate	Current Quantity	Current Total	Proposed Rate	Proposed Quantity	Proposed Total
<i>Electric:</i>						
Service charge	\$10.75	1	\$10.75	\$22.00	1	\$22.00
Energy charge	\$0.08639	525	\$45.35	\$0.08471	525	\$44.47
DSM	\$0.00416	525	\$2.18	\$0.00416	525	\$2.18
Fuel adjustment	(\$0.00023)	525	(\$0.12)	(\$0.00023)	525	(\$0.12)
Environmental	5.440%	N/A	\$3.16	5.440%		\$3.73
HEAF	\$0.25	1	\$0.25	\$0.25	1	\$0.25
<i>Gas:</i>						
Service charge	\$13.50	1	\$13.50	\$24.00	1	\$24.00
Distribution	\$0.28693	15	\$4.30	\$0.25385	15	\$3.81
Supply	\$0.42950	15	\$6.44	\$0.42950	15	\$6.44
WNA	\$0.28693	1.045	\$0.30	\$0.25385	1.045	\$0.27
DSM	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21
Line tracker	\$6.33	1	\$6.33	\$6.33	1	\$6.33
HEAF						
TOTAL			\$92.67			\$113.57

525/15

+ \$20.90

Compare the difference in cost increase among the 3 usage levels. The increase is highest for the lowest level of usage and decreases as usage increases. In other rate classes with greater diversity in usage, the advantage of increased usage might be more extreme.

LG&E: Current vs. Proposed (1000 kwh + 30 ccf)

	Current Rate	Current Quantity	Current Total	Proposed Rate	Proposed Quantity	Proposed Total
<i>Electric:</i>						
Service charge	\$10.75	1	\$10.75	\$22.00	1	\$22.00
Energy charge	\$0.08639	1,000	\$86.39	\$0.08471	1,000	\$84.71
DSM	\$0.00416	1,000	\$4.16	\$0.00416	1,000	\$4.16
Fuel adjustment	(\$0.00023)	1,000	(\$0.23)	(\$0.00023)	1,000	(\$0.23)
Environmental	5.440%	N/A	\$5.50	5.440%		\$6.02
HEAF	\$0.25	1	\$0.25	\$0.25	1	\$0.25
<i>Gas:</i>						
Service charge	\$13.50	1	\$13.50	\$24.00	1	\$24.00
Distribution	\$0.28693	30	\$8.61	\$0.25385	30	\$7.62
Supply	\$0.42950	30	\$12.89	\$0.42950	30	\$12.89
WNA	\$0.28693	1,045	\$0.30	\$0.25385	1,045	\$0.27
DSM	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21
Line tracker	\$6.33	1	\$6.33	\$6.33	1	\$6.33
HEAF						
TOTAL			\$148.65			\$168.22

1000/30

+ \$19.57

LG&E: Current vs. Proposed (1500 kwh + 45 ccf)

	Current Rate	Current Quantity	Current Total	Proposed Rate	Proposed Quantity	Proposed Total
<i>Electric:</i>						
Service charge	\$10.75	1	\$10.75	\$22.00	1	\$22.00
Energy charge	\$0.08639	1,500	\$129.59	\$0.08471	1,500	\$127.07
DSM	\$0.00416	1,500	\$6.24	\$0.00416	1,500	\$6.24
Fuel adjustment	(\$0.00023)	1,500	(\$0.35)	(\$0.00023)	1,500	(\$0.35)
Environmental	5.440%	N/A	\$7.95	5.440%		\$8.43
HEAF	\$0.25	1	\$0.25	\$0.25	1	\$0.25
<i>Gas:</i>						
Service charge	\$13.50	1	\$13.50	\$24.00	1	\$24.00
Distribution	\$0.28693	45	\$12.91	\$0.25385	45	\$11.42
Supply	\$0.42950	45	\$19.33	\$0.42950	45	\$19.33
WNA	\$0.28693	1,045	\$0.30	\$0.25385	1,045	\$0.27
DSM	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21	\$0.01426	15	\$0.21
Line tracker	\$6.33	1	\$6.33	\$6.33	1	\$6.33
HEAF						
TOTAL			\$207.02			\$225.20

1500/45

+ \$18.18

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: ANTHONY Joseph ANDERSON Senior

Address: 1223^{West} Woodlawn AVE Lar Ky 40215

Case: #2016-00371

COMMENTS: I'm on disability and, I only get \$13,000
Per year. ~~Mostly~~ Mostly all the prices are doubled
but my pay remains the same. All The other companies
only raise their prices three or Four Dollars. Why
is LG&E going double are y'all trying to make People
live in the stone Age or what? I didnt hear any reason
to Start raising LG&E Rates. The reason why I'm here
is because my Email read LG&E was try to double
my rate. Also Just because the commison has be open
about their Plans doesnt make it right. The reason
why this is happening is because LG&E Knows that you
have to buy from them. This is wron as having two
Left Foot shoes for a birthday Present. I'm
going to get my community to invest in solar electric.
LG&E ~~doesn't~~ ^{need} more money Their just money
thirsty.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: KATE CUNNINGHAM

Address: 8006 WHIPPS BEND RD, LOU KY 40222

Case: 2016 371

COMMENTS: Levying the same "service fee"
(meter fee) on a 1500 sq ft home (like mine)
and a home 2 or 3 times that size is not
"Fair Just or Reasonable" for residential ratepayers.
The proposed dramatic increase (almost 100%)
in monthly meter/service fee militates against
the PSC precept: "Customers receiving the same
type of service should pay the same rate."

The information session tonight (4-11-17) based
its numbers on a "typical usage" of 957 kwh/month.
My own usage is about 400 kwh/mo., year round.
It is not fair, just or reasonable for me to be
paying a higher meter/service fee, thereby subsidizing
other residential customers.

I have personally contributed to the LO&E grid
by installing solar panels at my home, which, in
some months generate more energy than my
usage requires.

May I suggest that LO&E cut waste & tighten its
belt, as this ^{proposed} rate increase will require its ratepayers
to do? PSC - Please reject this regressive proposal from LO&E

(Kate Cunningham)
4-11-17

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: John D. Hulker

Address: 14121 Spring Mill Rd Louisville KY 40245

Case: 2016-00371

COMMENTS:

1. If the new meters are so good they should pay for themselves and I assume they will by eliminating meter readers. So what is the rate increase really paying for?

2. Increasing fixed rates goes while lowering usage goes against conservation & renewable energy. This is backwards environmentally & puts higher burden on those least able to pay.

3. If rate is approved those who have installed solar panels or other renewable &/or highly efficient systems should be exempt from the increase.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Martha Flack

Address: 12011 Luray Ct 40245

Case: L.G.+E./K.U. Rate Increase (2016-00371)

COMMENTS: These are my primary concerns
about the proposed rate increase:

① Higher fixed charges are especially hard
on low and fixed income customers, and those
who don't use much energy;

② Higher fixed charges discourage customers
from installing energy efficient measures or
rooftop solar;

③ A rate increase discourages the expansion
of Louisville's electric bus fleet; and

④ L.G.+E./K.U. is requesting ~~this~~ an inappropriate
rate increase; it is much more than needed
and will result in job cuts.

I want the PSC to reject this proposal!

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Diane Guenther

Address: 2722 Shippen Ave

Case: LG&E rate increase 2016-00371-Electric

COMMENTS: I am retired & have a fixed income.
Over the years I have worked to ~~make~~^{make} my home
more energy efficient. LG&E's proposed fixed
rate increase penalizes me for reducing my consumption.
My energy usage is far below the average home. The
increased fixed rate will disproportionately effect me.

Consumers should be encouraged to decrease
energy use. Reducing use rates actually encourages
irresponsible use of energy. The cost of high
energy use must be measured in terms of damage to
our environment & health. Louisville & Jefferson
County's pollution contributes to increased heart
disease, ~~and~~ asthma, & other pulmonary disease.
I want the PSC to reject this proposal.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Lissa Van Hatten

Address: 1924 Muncie Ave #6 Louisville, KY 40206

Case: #2016-00371

COMMENTS: Why should rates increase for those
customers who may actually be using less
energy, particularly people who are conserving
and solar panel users? It seems to me
that they should be rewarded for using less
energy. You are penalizing these customers.
How much are you beholden to the coal
and natural gas industries? You seem to
be encouraging more energy consumption, by
taking away incentives to conserve. Are you
not suppose to serve the public? The word
"Public" is in your name, so actually serve
the public by not increasing rates. This, of
course, would serve low-income customers, as well.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Austin Norrid

Address: 1607 Lucia Ave #3 Louisville, KY 40204

Case: LG&E Rate Case

COMMENTS: As a teacher, I see students
from all income levels. This rate increase
will only serve to harm our low-income
families. Families who can't afford school
books can't afford a nearly 100% increase
on their state rate. This increase will
mean less money for families to spend
on best school supplies, clothes,
trips to the doctor + food. LG&E's
limit gives a good enough reason for
this increase. We can't need new meters.
Our meters work now. We shouldn't
force new meters on the backs of low
income families.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Thank you
write KFC the Commission

Name: John Cullen

Address: 1508 Schafflin, 40205

Case: 1686 Rate Increase c 2016-1

COMMENTS: The notion of eliminating the incentive to conserve energy seems very backward. My primary concern is a fair housing issue. By putting all the increase in the meter rate instead of on the consumption side, we take away the ability of low-income families to save money by using less energy. Also, it seems to be a perverse idea to set up an inequitable system where people in high density housing, which is the most efficient for the utility, subsidize low-density, inefficient suburban housing, which also tends to be higher income.

The rate increase formula should be completely rethought with these factors in mind, and necessary increases applied to the variable consumption portion of the bill.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Sanjay Saverimuttu

Address: 2512 Rivermont Ct Apt 22 Louisville, KY, 40206

Case: LG+E Rate increase

COMMENTS: As a person on a fixed income and as someone who is a big proponent of energy conservation, this rate increase will significantly affect my life negatively. All my efforts to conserve and reduce my energy use will be for naught, and that in turn will only encourage high consumption for those who already pay a significant amount. A rate design that encourages efficiency and renewables also delivers economic benefits to all ratepayers, since lower demand for electricity means utilities can avoid or delay expensive new power plants. If we focus on cutting pollution then we can in turn improve air quality and health of many communities in the city (these same communities are already struggling financially). We need a good reason for this increase that offers benefits to customers and doesn't cut jobs. I would like the PSC to reject this increase and encourage a solution that keeps our bills low and supports a transition to a clean energy system.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: BEN EVANS

Address: 1114 RAMMERS AVE., LOUISVILLE, KY 40204

Case: # 2016 - 00371

COMMENTS: WE CONTINUALLY HEAR FROM OUR UTILITY MONOPOLY (AND CERTAIN POLITICIANS) THAT WE CAN'T MOVE AWAY FROM COAL (OR NATURAL GAS) AS OUR ELECTRICITY SOURCE BECAUSE RENEWABLES WILL BE TOO EXPENSIVE FOR KY RATE-PAYERS AND WILL HURT THOSE ELECTRICITY CONSUMERS WHO CAN LEAST AFFORD IT. SETTING ASIDE FOR THE MOMENT THE FALLACIES IN THAT PARTICULAR ASSERTION, GIVEN THE FALLING COST OF SOLAR + WIND... NOW COMES THE PROPOSAL TO DOUBLE THE FLAT FEE WE ALL PAY, SOMETHING THAT, BY ITS VERY NATURE, WILL MOST HURT THOSE WHO ARE LEAST ABLE TO AFFORD IT, DISINCENTIVIZE ENERGY EFFICIENCY, AND HAMSTRING THE ADOPTION OF RENEWABLES. THIS SEEMS A CURIOUS CONTRADICTION, TO SAY THE LEAST.

WHEN IT COMES TO ENERGY GENERATION, WE CAN ~~EMPOWER~~ EMPOWER (PUN INTENDED) EITHER THE DIRTIEST ELEMENTS OF THE 19TH CENTURY OR THE CLEANEST ELEMENTS OF THE 21ST.

CONSIDER THIS THOUGHT EXPERIMENT: WHAT WOULD LG&E'S BUSINESS PLAN LOOK LIKE IF ITS MISSION WERE TO UTILIZE AND ENCOURAGE THE ADOPTION OF RENEWABLE ENERGY AND ENERGY EFFICIENCY AS RAPIDLY, FULLY, ~~AND AFFORDABLY~~ WIDELY, AS HUMANLY POSSIBLE? IF WE WANT TO HAVE A CHANCE OF AVOIDING CATASTROPHIC CLIMATE CHANGE, THAT SHOULD BE LG&E'S GUIDING MISSION ABOVE ALL OTHERS. I'M PRETTY SURE THE PLAN WOULD NOT LOOK LIKE IT CURRENTLY DOES.

OUR CHILDREN ARE WATCHING AND THEY ARE COUNTING ON US TO GET THIS RIGHT, EMBRACE CLEAN RENEWABLE ENERGY, AND LEAVE THEM A VIABLE PLANET WITH A CIVILIZATION THAT RUNS ON TRULY SUSTAINABLE ENERGY. IN A WAY THAT'S AFFORDABLE TO ALL. PLEASE DON'T LET THIS

RATE INCREASE PROPOSAL.

PSC Hearing on LG&E/~~KU~~ Rate Hike Request

Case #2016-00371

Comments by Mary Love, 3100 Smith Lane, La Grange KY 40031

Thank you. I want the PSC to reject this proposal. Please deliver a fair solution that keeps our bills as low as possible, supports a transition to clean energy, and allows us all to breathe easier.

During this year's session of the Kentucky Legislature, Senator Jared Carpenter of Berea introduced SB 214 dealing with net metering. The bill stalled out in committee after Senator Carpenter received a flood of negative comments from constituents and solar supporters across the state and met with a group of solar installers who said that the bill would be a job-killer for their industry. He was surprised that there is so much support for solar energy in Kentucky.

I recently viewed a National Geographic Society documentary entitled "Years of Living Dangerously—A Race Against Time". I hope you'll take the time to view it before you make your decision. One segment of the documentary gave an account of what happened to the solar industry in Nevada. Nevada was, at one point, the fastest-growing residential solar energy market in the nation. But the Public Utilities Commission approved the cancellation of incentives for homeowners with rooftop solar and tacked on new and substantial fees. This made rooftop solar suddenly too expensive. These new rates went into effect in late 2015, and the day they went into effect many of the solar companies in the state closed their doors and laid off all of their employees.

The Nevada rate case and Senator Carpenter's bill both dealt with net metering, but would have the effect of decimating the solar industry in the state. The increase in base rate that LG&E/~~KU~~ is requesting will have the same effect. If approved, it would discourage homeowners and businesses from installing solar or improving the energy efficiency of their homes and businesses because the base rate increase and lower per kilowatt charge would mean it was no longer cost-effective to reduce their energy usage. Saving energy would no longer be a priority. LG&E/~~KU~~ itself is investing in solar energy, and more installations are on the drawing board. Looks like they want to keep the advantages of clean solar energy for themselves alone.

I have not addressed the effect that these rate changes will have on lower income families. They are the ones who will suffer the most from these increases. But you will receive many more detailed and personal comments from them, I am sure.

Again, I want the PSC to reject this proposal. Please deliver a fair solution that keeps our bills as low as possible, supports a transition to clean energy, and allows us all to breathe easier.

Thank you for listening to my comments. I pray that you will take them to heart.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Claire Anton

Address: 112 ~~DM~~ N Birchwood ave Louisville Ky 40206

Case: 2016-00371

COMMENTS: I am requesting that the PSC Deny
the repressive and regressive rate increase proposed
by LG&E. This proposal unfairly targets
poor and low income families in that it
raises fixed costs and renders energy conservation
ineffective. As a shareholder in LG&E, I understand
that investors want a return for their investment
however, I do not wish to do so on the backs
of the poor.

A second and equally important concern
regards environmental factors. The request to
raise and restructure rates reduces the
payoff for investing in energy efficiency and
Clean energy

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Doug Magee

Address: 1139 East Broadway Louisville, KY 40204

Case: 2016-00371-Electric

COMMENTS: My concern is that people using less or trying to limit use would be hurt. Why punish the poor and the energy-conscious with higher basic costs. It would be fairer to these families to keep the basic cost down and charge higher in kWh costs. Those that use more power should pay for it.

Plus the increase is too high to begin with.

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Andrea Webster

Address: 600 E Saint Catherine street. Louisville 40203

Case: 2016-00371

COMMENTS: Louisville has enough problems with
parity. LG&E should not be allowed to implement a
regressive fee. It is not a "fair, just and
reasonable" proposal. If LG&E indeed needs more
money, which I have a hard time believing, they
should increase the per kWh cost. At least then,
customers will be incentivized to use less energy
if ^{customers} they want to spend less money on their utility
bills. In this example, customers have more
control over their costs. Variable charges can cover a
portion of fixed costs

to the customer.

resident fee

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Cassia Herron

Address: 335 East St. Catherine 40203

Case: _____

COMMENTS: _____

• higher fixed rates discourage ~~people~~ customers & businesses from installing energy efficiency measures

• utilities have not made a good case for increase
- smart meters will enable them to lower their personnel costs

• this is all about increased profits and puts customers at a disadvantage

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Kevin McAdams

Address: 221 N. Clifton Ave Apt 19 40206

Case: 2016 - 00371

COMMENTS: Strongly oppose increasing
meter fees. This increase amounts
to a burdensome regressive tax
on the least affluent consumers.

Also, I own a house in Texas,
and our monthly electric meter fee there
is \$7.00 (seven) - and it's a "smart meter"
What does our Tx utility (Energy)
know that LG&IE doesn't?

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: Remington Smith

Address: 512 E Barbee Ave, Louisville, KY 40217

Case: # 2016 371

COMMENTS: Please reject this base rate increase.

I grew up in a household reliant on food stamps and welfare to get by, and I have a clear, vivid memory of when we couldn't afford to have heat for one week. We, me, my mother & 2 siblings, had to huddle in one room under tons of blankets to keep warm.

I'm fortunate enough now that I can pay my bills without hardship. But Louisville, according to a 2015 CBS article, is the 11th poorest city in the country, with 29% of the population making less than \$25,000 a year. Meanwhile, LG+E's parent company, PPL, made \$1.9 BILLION in PROFIT last year. LG+E can afford their equipment upgrades, Louisvillians cannot.

As you weigh your decision please remember - this will hurt families already struggling, likely forcing more kids to huddle for warmth when they can't afford their utility bills. Thank you.

Remington Smith

859-229-8090

PUBLIC COMMENT FORM

Mail your comments to:
Kentucky Public Service Commission
211 Sower Boulevard, P.O. Box 615
Frankfort, KY 40602.
Or fax to: (502) 564-3460

Name: VIRGINIA D. BUSH

Address: 1111 FORREST ST.

Case: #2016-00371

COMMENTS: This rate hike on infrastructure costs will place an undue burden on those who use the least energy, whether it be the poor or those who try to offset their use as a conscientious measure to reduce their personal carbon footprint and thus help our climate.

In terms of health impacts due to the loss of incentive to reduce use of electricity and gas, respiratory symptoms associated to increased coal use will cost the public in health costs.

Putting in new gas meters will only benefit the utility by reducing employees. It will also make it easier to turn off a client's gas or electric with no face to face meeting. People will be put at increased risk of health, including children, elderly & the poor.

In addition, this makes renewable energy less possible.

Shareholders should first have the common good as primary and profit second. It appears that E-profit margins are high already, a reduction in profit % is in order for the common good.